Scrutiny Peer Review 2017	APPENDIX 2
Local Authority Caerphilly	Meeting of Policy and Resources Scrutiny Committee 28 <sup>th</sup> February 2017
Peer Observers Newport and Monmouthshire County Council	
Facilitated by WLGA	
A. Scrutiny Environment	

1. Scrutiny has a clearly defined and valued role in the council's improvement arrangements (based upon the observation of this meeting)

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	<u> </u>	Strongly A	\gree	Don't Know
July Disagree	Disagree	7 181 C		July /	151 CC	DOIL CIVILOW

#### **Comments**

It was difficult to assess the role of scrutiny in the council's 'improvement arrangements' from observing part of a single meeting, however, the meeting gave the impression that scrutiny is valued within the governance arrangements of the authority. This was evidenced by the fact that attendance was high and 4 cabinet members, including 2 Deputy Leaders were in attendance, and engaged in open and constructive dialogue with the committee, responding positively to proposals from the committee to follow up on correspondence for example. The Cabinet Members' apparent commitment to and relationship with scrutiny was observed by the peer team, however, the peer team noted that the Cabinet Members were in attendance throughout the meeting (at least the parts of the meeting observed by the peer team) and wondered whether it would provide clearer 'demarcation' of responsibilities if they attended only for their specific items (although the peer team understood that cabinet members also wanted to remain for the presentation from BT)

# 2. Scrutiny has the dedicated support it needs from officers (based upon the observation of this meeting)

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know

#### **Comments**

Evidence of preparation and support was seen from scrutiny support officers at the meeting, this included advice to the chair at appropriate points during the meeting and the preparation of comprehensive reports in advance. Many members of the peer team had met or worked with Caerphilly's scrutiny officer previously and all were complimentary and held her in high regard. Several senior officers were in attendance at the committee meeting, which presumably is a customary approach, though it was noticeable that Cabinet Members answered most of the committee's questions, with officers providing only technical clarifications; the peer team regarded this as a positive approach as it showed clear political leadership.

# 3. Scrutiny members appear to have effective training and development opportunities, evidenced through their questioning, listening and analysis skills and understanding of the subject under scrutiny

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	<mark>Agree</mark>	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
-------------------	----------	--------------------	----------------	------------

#### Comments

It appeared that members have received 'effective training and development' given the effective approach undertaken during the meeting. Questions were varied, well balanced and some were obviously well researched beforehand. Members were proactive in their approach to business, including using the meeting as an opportunity to shape future business with an ad hoc proposal to add to the forward work programme. The peer team noted that some members were more involved than others during the meeting; this might be due to different levels of confidence or engagement or whether this was due to the wide policy breadth covered by the committee and that members may have different interests and specialisms, which might not have been applicable or relevant to the specific housing matters under consideration at this meeting.

Conclusion:	nlease	consider	which (	of the	following applies:
CONCIUSION.	picase '	consider	WILL !	01 1110	TOTIOWING applics.

Arrangements are hindering	Arrangements are partly supporting	Arrangements are positively	Arrangements are playing a significant role in
improvement	improvement	supporting improvement	supporting improvement

# B. Scrutiny Practice 1. Scrutiny takes into account the views of the public, partners and regulators, balancing the prioritisation of community concerns against issues of strategic

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### Comments

risk/importance

This was evidenced at the meeting with the attendance and presentation from a group of tenants' representatives (although it was not clear how regular an occurrence this was). Members generally demonstrated good community knowledge, conveying community concerns and views about particular matters; it was noted that this was managed well and struck a healthy balance of providing a community perspective without appearing overly parochial.

# 2. Overview and scrutiny meetings, activities and work programmes are well-planned (based on observation of this meeting)

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### **Comments**

Planning and preparation appeared to be very effective; the peer team observed part of the pre-meeting which was well-attended, appeared constructive and the questioning strategy well-managed by the chair; some members' had clearly researched some matters extensively, notably the questioning of the BT representative; and the proposal to amend the Forward Work Programme (as noted above) showed a clear approach to planning for the future. Members' questions appeared to be self-generated rather than 'scripted' by scrutiny officers. The layout of the committee room was noted as being conducive to constructive dialogue and was less adversarial than traditional layouts in council chambers for example.

# 3. Overview and scrutiny meetings and activities are chaired effectively

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

### **Comments**

The chair welcomed guests (including peer team and external witnesses), and had an assured, consensual, constructive approach to managing business. He was clear and firm when business needed to be moved on, when other members needed to be brought in or reminded to focus on the agenda and outcome required. He was also organised and rounded up discussions well, for example, reminding the Cabinet Member of the agreed action regarding the sending of a letter.

# 4. Overview and scrutiny meetings demonstrate through their activities the best use of the resources available

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### Comments

This was not immediately clear at the meeting; there were a number of 'For Information' reports and it was not explicitly clear why the BT item was on the agenda, although it produced an engaging discussion and was probably effective in terms of relationship management. Some peer members questioned why some senior officers attended throughout the meeting, despite only having limited agenda items, but on balance it was felt that they may have benefited from observing the debate and views of members.

# 5. Scrutiny operates non-politically and deals effectively with sensitive political issues, tension and conflict

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

## Comments

The committee's business was discharged in a constructive manner; questions were appropriately challenging, but relations between the committee and Cabinet Members was courteous, constructive and respectful. Given the run-up to the elections and the likely political tensions emerging, this approach was commended by the peer team and one peer member noted 'it was difficult to see who belonged to which party'.

# 6. Scrutiny builds trust and good relationships with a wide variety of internal and external stakeholders (based on observation of this meeting)

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### Comments

A number of internal stakeholders (senior members and officers were in attendance) as well as external stakeholders at this meeting, including BT and a tenants group. All were made to feel welcome and questioning was constructive and challenging where necessary.

Conclusion: please consider v	vhich of the fo	ollowing applies:		
Arrangements are hindering		ngements are partly supporting	Arrangements are positively	Arrangements are playing a significant role in
improvement	impr	ovement	supporting improvement	supporting improvement
			Monmouthshire County Council	
C. Impact of Scrutiny				
1. Scrutiny engages in evidence	e based chall	enge of decision makers (based	on observation of this meeting)	
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments				
			allenging questions which demonstrate	ed evidence and preparation, for example, a number of
examples and issues were high				
2. Scrutiny engages in evidence	e based chall	enge of service providers (based	on observation of this meeting)	
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	om the communities. However, it is not clear how
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		the council, attend scrutiny mee		
3. Scrutiny provides viable an	d well evidend	ed solutions to recognised prob	lems (based on observation of this mo	
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments				
This was not evidenced at the	meeting.			
4. Non-executive members pr	ovide an evid	ence based check and balance to	Executive decision making	
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	<mark>Agree</mark>	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments				
				ed to the Cabinet Member 'Statements' which were
	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	be largely 'For Information'. The peer team regarded the
Cabinet Member statements a	is an interestir	ng and effective approach to info	rming members of decisions and devel	opments and encouraging challenge and scrutiny.
5. Decision makers give public	account for t	hemselves at scrutiny committe	es for their portfolio responsibilities	
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments				
		_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an
	or the cabinet	to write to follow-up on a matte	r and were receptive to the suggested	addition to the forward work programme which was
viewed as mutually beneficial.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oles the 'voice	of local people and communities		of decision and policy-making processes
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments				to the state of th
Comments	e heard from	a tenants' representative group a	and members raised issues of commun	ity concern throughout.
Comments			and members raised issues of commun	ity concern throughout.
<b>Comments</b> As noted above, the committe	vhich of the fo		and members raised issues of commun  Arrangements are positively	Arrangements are playing a significant role in